ON THE DE RHAM COMPLEX OF MIXED TWISTOR \mathscr{D} -MODULES

TERESA MONTEIRO FERNANDES AND CLAUDE SABBAH

ABSTRACT. Given a complex manifold S, we introduce for each complex manifold X a t-structure on the bounded derived category of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes of \mathscr{O}_S -modules on $X\times S$. We prove that the de Rham complex of a holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module which is \mathscr{O}_S -flat as well as its dual object is perverse relatively to this t-structure. This result applies to mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -modules.

1. Introduction

Given a vector bundle V of rank $d \geqslant 1$ with an integrable connection $\nabla: V \to \Omega_X^1 \otimes V$ on a complex manifold X of complex dimension n, the sheaf of horizontal sections $V^{\nabla} = \ker \nabla$ is a locally constant sheaf of d-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, and is the only nonzero cohomology sheaf of the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_X(V,\nabla) = (\Omega_X^{\bullet} \otimes V,\nabla)$. Assume moreover that (V,∇) is equipped with a harmonic metric in the sense of [19, p. 16]. The twistor construction of [20] produces then a holomorphic bundle \mathscr{V} on the product space $\mathscr{X} = X \times \mathbb{C}$, where the factor \mathbb{C} has coordinate z, together with a holomorphic flat z-connection. By restricting to $\mathscr{X}^* := X \times \mathbb{C}^*$, giving such a z-connection on $\mathscr{V}^* := \mathscr{V}_{|\mathscr{X}^*}$ is equivalent to giving a flat relative connection ∇ with respect to the projection $p: \mathscr{X}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Similarly, the relative de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_{\mathscr{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathscr{V}^*,\nabla)$ has cohomology in degree zero at most, and $(\mathscr{V}^*)^{\nabla} := \ker \nabla$ is a locally constant sheaf of locally free $p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules of rank d.

Holonomic \mathscr{D}_X -modules generalize the notion of a holomorphic bundle with flat connection to objects having (possibly wild) singularities, and a well-known theorem of Kashiwara [2] shows that the solution complex of such a holonomic \mathscr{D}_X -module has \mathbb{C} -constructible cohomology, from which one can deduce that the de Rham complex is of the same kind and more precisely that both are \mathbb{C} -perverse sheaves on X up to a shift by dim X.

The notion of a holonomic \mathscr{D}_X -module with a harmonic metric has been formalized in [14] and [10] under the name of pure twistor \mathscr{D} -module (this

Date: 11/02/2012.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F10, 32C38, 32S40, 32S60, 35Nxx, 58J10. Key words and phrases. Holonomic relative D-module, relative constructible sheaf, relative perverse sheaf, mixed twistor D-module.

The research of T.M.F. was partially supported by Supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, PEst OE/MAT/UI0209/2011.

The research of C.S. was supported by the grant ANR-08-BLAN-0317-01 of the Agence nationale de la recherche.

generalizes holonomic \mathscr{D}_X -modules with regular singularities), and then in [15] and [11] under the name of wild twistor \mathscr{D} -modules (this takes into account arbitrary irregular singularities). More recently, Mochizuki [12] has fully developed the notion of a mixed (possibly wild) twistor \mathscr{D} -module. When restricted to \mathscr{X}^* , such an object contains in its definition two holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules, and we say that both underlie a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module

The main result of this article concerns the de Rham complex and the solution complex of such objects.

Theorem 1.1. The de Rham complex and the solution complex of a $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module underlying a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module are perverse sheaves of $p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules (up to a shift by dim X).

In Section 2, we define the notion of relative constructibility and perversity. This applies to the more general setting where $p: \mathcal{X}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is replaced by a projection $p_X: \mathcal{X} = X \times S \to S$, where S is any complex manifold. We usually set $p = p_X$ when X is fixed. On the other hand, we call holonomic any coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module whose relative characteristic variety in $T^*(X \times S/S) = (T^*X) \times S$ is contained in a variety $\Lambda \times S$, where Λ is a conic Lagrangian variety in T^*X . We say that a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module is strict if it is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -flat.

Theorem 1.2. The de Rham complex and the solution complex of a strict holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module whose dual is also strict are perverse sheaves of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules (up to a shift by dim X).

A $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module \mathscr{M} underlying a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module is strict and holonomic (see [12]). Moreover, Mochizuki has defined a duality functor on the category of mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -modules, proving in particular that the dual of \mathscr{M} as a $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module is also strict holonomic. Therefore, these results together with Theorem 1.2 imply Theorem 1.1.

Note that, while our definition of perverse objects in the bounded derived category $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ intends to supply a notion of holomorphic family of perverse sheaves, we are not able, in the case of twistor \mathscr{D} -modules, to extend this notion to the case when the parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}^* = S$ also achieves the value zero, and to define a perversity property in the Dolbeault setting of [19] for the associated Higgs module.

2. Relative constructibility in the case of a projection

We keep the setting as above, but X is only assumed to be a real analytic manifold. Given a real analytic map $f:Y\to X$ between real analytic manifolds, we will denote by f_S (or f if the context is clear) the map $f\times\operatorname{id}_S:Y\times S\to X\times S$.

2.1. Sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and of $p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -modules. Let $f: Y \to X$ be such a map. There are functors $f^{-1}, f^!, Rf_*, Rf_!$ between $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ and $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{Y \times S})$, and functors $f_S^{-1}, f_S^!, Rf_{S,*}, Rf_{S,!}$ between $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and

 $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_Y^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. These functors correspond pairwise through the forgetful functor $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)\to \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X\times S})$. Indeed, this is clear except for $f_S^!$ and $f^!$. To check it, one decomposes f as a closed immersion and a projection. In the first case, the compatibility follows from the fact that both are equal to $f^{-1}R\Gamma_{f(X)}$ (see [5, Prop. 3.1.12]) and for the case of a projection one uses [5, Prop. 3.1.11 & 3.3.2]. We note also that the Poincaré-Verdier duality theorem [5, Prop. 3.1.10] holds on $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ (see [5, Rem. 3.1.6(i)]). From now on, we will write f^{-1} , etc. instead of f_S^{-1} , etc.

The ring $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ is Noetherian, hence coherent (see [3, Prop. A.14]). For each $s_o \in S$ let us denote by \mathfrak{m}_{s_o} the ideal of sections of \mathcal{O}_S vanishing at s_o and by $i_{s_o}^{\star}$ the functor

$$\operatorname{Mod}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S) \longmapsto \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X)$$

$$F \longmapsto F \otimes_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S} p_X^{-1}(\mathscr{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}_{s_o}).$$

This functor will be useful for getting properties of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ from well-known properties of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let F and F' belong to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then, for each $s_o \in S$ there is a well-defined natural morphism

$$Li_{s_o}^*(R\mathscr{H}om_{p^{-1}(\mathscr{O}_S)}(F,F')) \to R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(Li_{s_o}^*(F),Li_{s_o}^*(F'))$$

which is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Proof. Let us fix $s_o \in S$. The existence of the morphism follows from [3, (A.10)]. Moreover, since $p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ is a coherent ring as remarked above and $p_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}_{s_o})$ is $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -coherent, we can apply the argument given after (A.10) in loc. cit. to show that it is an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.2. Let F and F' belong to $\mathsf{D^b}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and let $\phi: F \to F'$ be a morphism. Assume the following conditions:

- (1) for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x, s) \in X \times S$, $\mathscr{H}^{j}(F)_{(x,s)}$ and $\mathscr{H}^{j}(F')_{(x,s)}$ are of finite type over $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$,
- (2) for all $s_o \in S$, the natural morphism

$$Li_{s_{-}}^{*}(\phi): Li_{s_{-}}^{*}(F) \to Li_{s_{-}}^{*}(F')$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Then ϕ is an isomorphism.

Proof. It is enough to prove that the mapping cone of ϕ is quasi-isomorphic to 0. So we are led to proving that for $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, if $\mathscr{H}^{j}(F)_{(x,s)}$ are of finite type over $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$ for all $(x,s) \in X \times S$, and $Li_{s_o}^*(F)$ is quasi-isomorphic to 0 for each $s_o \in S$, then F is quasi-isomorphic to 0.

We may assume that S is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n with coordinates s^1, \ldots, s^n and we will argue by induction on n. Assume n=1. For such an F, for each $s_o \in S$ and any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the morphism $(s^1 - s_o^1) : \mathscr{H}^j(F) \to \mathscr{H}^j(F)$ is an isomorphism, hence $\mathscr{H}^j(F)/(s^1 - s_o^1)\mathscr{H}^j(F) = 0$ and by Nakayama's Lemma, for any $x \in X$, $\mathscr{H}^j(F)_{(x,s_o^1)} = 0$ and the result follows. For $n \geq 2$,

the cone F' of the morphism $(s^n - s_o^n) : F \to F$ also satisfies $Li_{s_o'}^* F' = 0$ for any $s_o' = (s_o^1, \dots, s_o^{n-1})$, hence is zero by induction, so we can argue as in the case n = 1.

- 2.2. S-locally constant sheaves. We say that a sheaf F of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (resp. $p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -modules) on $X\times S$ is S-locally constant if, for each point $(x,s)\in X\times S$, there exists a neighbourhood $U=V_x\times T_s$ of (x,s) and a sheaf $G^{(x,s)}$ of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (resp. \mathscr{O}_S -modules) on T_s , such that $F_{|U}\simeq p_U^{-1}G^{(x,s)}$. The category of S-locally constant sheaves is an abelian full subcategory of that of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}_{X\times S}$ -vector spaces (resp. $p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -modules), which is stable by extensions in the respective categories, by $\mathscr{H}om$ and tensor products. Moreover, if $\pi:Y\times X\times S\to Y\times S$ is the projection, with X contractible, then, if F' is S-locally constant on $Y\times X\times S$,
 - π_*F' is S-locally constant on $Y \times S$,
 - $R^k \pi_* F' = 0$ if k > 0,
 - $F' \simeq \pi^{-1} \pi_* F'$.

Applying this to $Y = \{ \mathrm{pt} \}$, we find that, if F is S-locally constant, then for each $x \in X$ there exists a connected neighbourhood V_x of x and a \mathbb{C}_S -module (resp. \mathscr{O}_S -module) $G^{(x)}$ such that $F = p_{V_x}^{-1}G^{(x)}$, and one has $G^{(x)} = p_{V_x,*}F_{|V_x\times S} = F_{|\{x\}\times S}$. We shall also denote by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$) the bounded triangulated category whose objects are the complexes having S-locally constant cohomology sheaves. Similarly, for such a complex F we have $F_{|V_x\times S}\simeq p_{V_x}^{-1}Rp_{V_x,*}F_{|V_x\times S}\simeq p_{V_x}^{-1}F_{|\{x\}\times S}$.

We conclude from the previous remarks, by using the natural forgetful functor $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S) \to \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X\times S})$:

Lemma 2.3.

- (1) An object F of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if, when regarded as an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X\times S})$, it belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.
- (2) For any object F of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and for any $s_o \in S$, $Li_{s_o}^*F$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.
- 2.3. S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves. As long as the manifold X is fixed, we shall write p instead of p_X .

Definition 2.4. Let $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ (resp. $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$). We shall say that F is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible if there exists a subanalytic μ -stratification (X_{α}) of X (see [5, Def. 8.3.19]) such that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathscr{H}^{j}(F)|_{X_{\alpha} \times S}$ is S-locally constant.

This condition is independent of the choice of the μ -stratification and characterizes a full triangulated subcategory $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$) of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X\times S})$ (resp. $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$). Due to Lemma 2.3, an object F of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ is in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if it belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ when considered as an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X\times S})$. By mimicking for $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ the proof of [5, Prop. 8.4.1] and according to the previous remark for $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-R-c}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, we obtain:

Proposition 2.5. Let F be S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X and let $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ be a μ -stratification of X adapted to F. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all α , $\mathscr{H}^{j}(F)|_{X_{\alpha} \times S}$ is S-locally constant.
- (2) $SS(F) \subset (\bigsqcup_{\alpha} T_{X_{\alpha}}^* X) \times T^*S$.
- (3) There exists a closed conic subanalytic Lagrangian subset Λ of T^*X such that $SS(F) \subset \Lambda \times T^*S$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and let $s_o \in S$. Then $Li^*_{s_o}(F) \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Proof. Let $i_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$ denote the locally closed inclusion of a stratum of an adapted stratification (X_{α}) . It is enough to observe that, for each α , we have $i_{\alpha}^{-1}Li_{s_{\alpha}}^{*}(F) \simeq Li_{s_{\alpha}}^{*}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}F)$, and to apply Lemma 2.3(2). q.e.d.

Let now Y be another real analytic manifold and consider a real analytic map $f: Y \to X$. The following statements for objects of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ are easily deduced from Proposition 2.5 similarly to the absolute case treated in [5], as consequences of Theorem 8.3.17, Proposition 8.3.11, Corollary 6.4.4 and Proposition 5.4.4 of loc. cit. In order to get the same statements for objects of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, one uses Lemma 2.3(1) together with §2.1. We will not distinguish between f and f_S .

Proposition 2.7.

- (1) If F is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X, then so are $f^{-1}(F)$ and $f^{!}(F)$.
- (2) Assume that F' is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on Y and that f is proper on Supp(F'). Then $Rf_*(F')$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X.

Given a closed subanalytic subset $Y \subset X$, we will denote by $i: Y \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$ the closed inclusion and by j the complementary open inclusion.

Corollary 2.8. Assume that F^* is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on $X \setminus Y$. Then the objects $Rj_!F^*$ and Rj_*F^* are also S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X.

Proof. The statement for $Rj_!F^*$ is obvious. Then Proposition 2.7 implies that $i^!Rj_!F^*$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. Conclude by using the distinguished triangle

$$Ri_*i^!Rj_!F^* \to Rj_!F^* \to Rj_*F^* \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and the S-weak \mathbb{R} -constructibility of the first two terms. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.9. An object $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ (resp. $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p^{-1}(\mathscr{O}_S))$) is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to a μ -stratification (X_{α}) if and only if, for each α , $i_{\alpha}^{!}F$ has S-locally constant cohomology on X_{α} .

Proof. Assume that F is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to a μ -stratification (X_{α}) of X. Then $i_{\alpha}^!F$ has S-locally constant cohomology on X_{α} . Indeed the estimation of the micro-support of [5, Cor. 6.4.4(ii)] implies that $SS(i_{\alpha}^!F)$ (like $SS(i_{\alpha}^*F)$) is contained in $T_{X_{\alpha}}^*X_{\alpha} \times T^*S$, so $i_{\alpha}^!F$ has locally constant cohomology on X_{α} for each α , according to Proposition 2.5.

Conversely, if $i_{\alpha}^!F$ is locally constant for each α , then F is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. Indeed, we argue by induction and we denote by X_k the union of strata of codimension $\leqslant k$ in X. Assume we have proved that $F_{|X_{k-1}\times S}$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to the stratification (X_{α}) with codim $X_{\alpha} \leqslant k-1$. We denote by $j_k: X_{k-1} \hookrightarrow X_k$ the open inclusion and by i_k the complementary closed inclusion. According to Corollary 2.8, $Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to $(X_{\alpha})_{|X_k}$. Now, by using the exact triangle $i_k^!F \to i_k^{-1}F \to i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F \xrightarrow{+1}$, we conclude that $i_k^{-1}F$ is locally constant, hence $F_{|X_k\times S}$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. q.e.d.

Corollary 2.10. Let $F, F' \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then $R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F, F')$ also belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.9, it is sufficient to prove that for each α , $i_{\alpha}^{!}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{lc}}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$. We have:

$$i_{\alpha}^! R \mathscr{H}om_{p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F, F') \simeq R \mathscr{H}om_{p_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}F, i_{\alpha}^!F').$$

Since both $i_{\alpha}^{-1}F$ and $i_{\alpha}^{!}F'$ belong to $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{lc}}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$, according to Proposition 2.9, we have locally on X_{α} isomorphisms $i_{\alpha}^{-1}F = p_{\alpha}^{-1}G_{\alpha}$ and $i_{\alpha}^{!}F' = p_{\alpha}^{-1}G'_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha}^{!}G'_{\alpha}[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_{\alpha}]$ for some \mathscr{O}_{S} -modules G_{α} and G'_{α} . Then

$$R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}F, i_{\alpha}^{!}F') = R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(p_{\alpha}^{-1}G_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}^{!}G'_{\alpha}[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_{\alpha}])$$

$$\simeq p_{\alpha}^{!}R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_{S}}(G_{\alpha}, G'_{\alpha})[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_{\alpha}]$$

$$= p_{\alpha}^{-1}R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_{S}}(G_{\alpha}, G'_{\alpha}). \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

The following lemma will be useful in the next section. Assume that $X = Y \times Z$ and that the μ -stratification (X_{α}) of X takes the form $X_{\alpha} = Y \times Z_{\alpha}$, where (Z_{α}) is a μ -stratification of Z. We denote by $q: X \to Y$ the projection. Let $z_o \in Z$, let $U \ni z_o$ be a coordinate neighbourhood of z_o in Z and, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, let $B_{\varepsilon} \subset U$ be the open ball of radius ε centered at z_o and let $\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$ be the closed ball and S_{ε} its boundary. For the sake of simplicity, we denote by $q_{\varepsilon}, q_{\overline{\varepsilon}}, q_{\partial \varepsilon}$ the corresponding projections.

We set $Z^* = Z \setminus \{z_o\}$ and $X^* = Y \times Z^*$. We denote by $i: Y \times \{z_o\} \hookrightarrow Y \times Z$ and by $j: Y \times Z^* \hookrightarrow Y \times Z$ the complementary closed and open inclusions.

Lemma 2.11. Let $F^* \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F^* \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$) be adapted to the previous stratification. Then there exists $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_o)$, the natural morphisms

$$Rq_{\partial\varepsilon,*}F_{|Y\times S_{\varepsilon}\times S}^*\longleftarrow Rq_{\overline{\varepsilon},*}Rj_*F^*\longrightarrow Rq_{\varepsilon,*}Rj_*F^*\longrightarrow i^{-1}Rj_*F^*$$
 are isomorphisms.

Proof. We note that, according to Corollary 2.8, $F := Rj_*F^*$ is S-weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible, and is adapted to the stratification $(Y \times Z_{\alpha})$. On the other hand, according to §2.1, it is enough to consider the case where F^* is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathrm{c}}(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.

Let us start with the right morphisms. We can argue with any object $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$, not necessarily of the form Rj_*F^* . Recall that we have

an adjunction morphism $q_{\varepsilon}^{-1}Rq_{\varepsilon,*} \to \mathrm{id}$ and thus $i^{-1}q_{\varepsilon}^{-1}Rq_{\varepsilon,*} \to i^{-1}$. Since $q_{\varepsilon} \circ i = \mathrm{id}_{Y \times S}$, we get the second right morphism. The first one is the restriction morphism.

According to [5, Prop. 8.3.12 and 5.4.17], there exists $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ in $(0, \varepsilon_o)$, the restriction morphisms $Rq_{\overline{\varepsilon},*}F \to Rq_{\varepsilon,*}F \to Rq_{\overline{\varepsilon}',*}F \to Rq_{\varepsilon',*}F$ are isomorphisms. In particular, the first right morphism is an isomorphism.

Let us take a q-soft representative of F, that we still denote by F. The inductive system $q_{\varepsilon,*}F$ ($\varepsilon \to 0$) has limit $i^{-1}F$ and all morphisms of this system are quasi-isomorphisms. Hence the second right morphism is a quasi-isomorphism.

Remark 2.12. A similar argument gives an isomorphism $i^!F \xrightarrow{\sim} Rq_{\varepsilon,!}F$, by using [5, Prop. 5.4.17(c)].

For the left morphism, we take a q-soft representative of F^* that we still denote by F^* . For $\varepsilon_- < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_+ < \varepsilon_o$, we denote by $B_{\varepsilon_-,\varepsilon_+}$ the open set $B_{\varepsilon_+} \setminus \overline{B}_{\varepsilon_-}$ and by $q_{\varepsilon_-,\varepsilon_+}$ the corresponding projection. We have $q_{\partial\varepsilon_+*}F^* = \lim_{|\varepsilon_+-\varepsilon_-|\to 0} q_{\varepsilon_-,\varepsilon_+,*}F^*$. On the other hand, the morphisms of this inductive system are all quasi-isomorphisms, according to [5, Prop. 5.4.17]. Fixing $\varepsilon' \in (\varepsilon,\varepsilon_o)$ we find a quasi-isomorphism $q_{\varepsilon',*}F^* \to q_{\partial\varepsilon,*}F^*$. On the other hand, from the first part we have $q_{\varepsilon',*}F^* \xrightarrow{\sim} q_{\overline{\varepsilon},*}F^*$, hence the result. q.e.d.

2.4. S-coherent local systems and S- \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves.

Notation 2.13. We shall denote by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}\,\mathsf{coh}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ whose objects satisfy, locally on $X, F \simeq p_X^{-1}G$ with $G \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Equivalently, for each $x \in X, F_{|\{x\} \times S} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$ (see the remarks before Lemma 2.3).

Definition 2.14. Given $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, we say that F is \mathbb{R} -constructible if, for some μ -stratification of X, $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathscr{H}^j(F)|_{X_{\alpha}\times S} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathrm{lc}\,\mathrm{coh}}(p_{X_{\alpha}}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. This condition characterizes a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}\text{-}\mathsf{R}\text{-}\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ which we denote by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Similarly to Proposition 2.6 we have:

Proposition 2.15. Let $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and let $s_o \in S$. Then $Li^*_{s_o}(F) \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Remark 2.16. An object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{w-}\mathbb{R}-\mathrm{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ is in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathrm{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if, for any $x \in X$, $F_{|\{x\} \times S}$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$.

A straightforward adaptation of [5, Prop. 8.4.8] gives:

Proposition 2.17. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a a morphism of manifolds and let $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}^{-c}}(p_V^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. Assume that f_S is proper on $\mathrm{Supp}(F)$. Then

$$Rf_{S,*}F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathrm{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S).$$

We can also characterize $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ as in Corollary 2.9.

Corollary 2.18. An object $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ is in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if, for some subanalytic Whitney stratification (X_{α}) of X, the complexes $i_{\alpha}^{!}F$ belong to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{lc}\,\mathsf{coh}}(p_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. Assume F is in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. We need to prove the coherence of $i_\alpha^! F$. We argue by induction as in Corollary 2.9, with the same notation. Since the question is local on X_k , by the Whitney property of the stratification (X_α) we can assume that $X_{k-1} = Z \times Y_k$ and there exists a Whitney stratification (Z_{α}) of Z such that $X_{\alpha} = Z_{\alpha} \times Y_{k}$ for each α such that $X_{\alpha} \subset X_{k-1}$ (see e.g. [1, §1.4]). Proving that $i_k^! F$ is $p^{-1} \mathscr{O}_S$ -coherent is equivalent to proving that $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is so, since we already know that $i_k^{-1}F$ is so. According to Lemma 2.11, $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is computed as $Rq_{\partial\varepsilon,*}j_k^{-1}F$, and since $q_{\partial\varepsilon}$ is proper, we can apply Proposition 2.17 to get the coherence.

Conversely, Corollary 2.9 already implies that F is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}_{\mathsf{c}}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. We argue then as above: since we know by assumption that $i_k!F$ is coherent, it suffices to prove that $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is so, and the previous argument applies.

2.5. S-weakly \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves and S- \mathbb{C} -constructible **sheaves.** Let now assume that X is a complex analytic manifold.

Definition 2.19.

- (1) Let $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$). We shall say that F is S-weakly \mathbb{C} -constructible if SS(F) is \mathbb{C}^* -conic. The corresponding categories are denoted by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{w-\mathbb{C}-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$).
- (2) If F belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, we say that F is S- \mathbb{C} -constructible if $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, and we denote by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ the corresponding category, which is full triangulated sub-category of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

The following properties are obtained in a straightforward way, by using [5, Th. 8.5.5] in a way similar to [5, Prop. 8.5.7].

Properties 2.20.

- (1) An object F of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if it belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.

 (2) Remark 2.16 applies to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

 (3) Proposition 2.7 applies to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}$.

- (4) Propositions 2.15, 2.17, and Corollary 2.18 apply to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.
- (5) Corollary 2.10 applies to $D_{w-\mathbb{C}-c}^b, D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b$ and $D_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b$.
- 2.6. Duality. According to the syzygy theorem for the regular local ring $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$ (for any $s \in S$) and e.g. [6, Prop. 13.2.2(ii)] (for the opposite category), any object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$ is locally quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of locally free \mathscr{O}_S -modules of finite rank L^{\bullet} . As a consequence, the local duality functor

$$\boldsymbol{D}:\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)\to\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S),\quad \boldsymbol{D}(\mathscr{F}):=R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_S}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{O}_S)$$

is seen to be an involution, i.e., the natural morphism id $\to D \circ D$ is an isomorphism. However, the standard t-structure

$$\big(\operatorname{\mathsf{D}^{b,\leqslant 0}_{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S),\operatorname{\mathsf{D}^{b,\geqslant 0}_{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)\big)$$

defined by $\mathcal{H}^jG = 0$ for j > 0 (resp. for j < 0) is not interchanged by duality when dim $S \ge 1$ (see e.g., [4, Prop. 4.3] in the algebraic setting). Nevertheless, we have:

Lemma 2.21. Let G be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Assume that $\mathbf{D}G$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b},\leqslant 0}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then G belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b},\geqslant 0}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. Setting G' = DG, the biduality isomorphism makes it equivalent to proving that DG' belongs to $\mathsf{D}_{\operatorname{coh}}^{\mathsf{b},\geqslant 0}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. The question is local on S and we may therefore replace G' with a bounded complex L^{\bullet} as above. Moreover, L^{\bullet} is quasi-isomorphic to such a bounded complex, still denoted by L^{\bullet} , such that $L^k = 0$ for k > 0. Indeed, note first that the kernel K of a surjective morphism of locally free \mathscr{O}_S -modules of finite rank is also locally free of finite rank (being \mathscr{O}_S -coherent and having all its germs K_s free over $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$, because they are projective and $\mathscr{O}_{S,s}$ is a regular local ring). By assumption, we have $\mathscr{H}^j(L^{\bullet}) = 0$ for j > 0. Let k > 0 be such that $L^k \neq 0$ and $L^{\ell} = 0$ for $\ell > k$, and let $L'^{k-1} = \ker[L^{k-1} \to L^k]$. Then L^{\bullet} is quasi-isomorphic to L'^{\bullet} defined by $L'^j = L^j$ for j < k - 1 and $L'^j = 0$ for $j \geqslant k$. We conclude by induction on k.

Now it is clear that $DG' \simeq DL^{\bullet}$ is a bounded complex having terms in nonnegative degrees at most, and thus is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b},\geqslant 0}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. q.e.d.

Remark 2.22. Let G be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Assume that G and $\mathbf{D}G$ belong to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b},\leqslant 0}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then G and $\mathbf{D}G$ are \mathscr{O}_S -coherent sheaves, hence G and $\mathbf{D}G$ are \mathscr{O}_S -locally free.

We now set $\omega_{X,S} = p_X^{-1} \mathscr{O}_S[2 \dim X] = p_X^! \mathscr{O}_S$.

Proposition 2.23. The functor $\mathbf{D}: \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S) \to \mathsf{D}^{+}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ defined by $\mathbf{D}F = R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,\omega_{X,S})$ induces an involution $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S) \to \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S) \to \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

We will also set $\mathbf{D}'F = R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F, p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. Let us first show that, for F in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, the dual $\mathbf{D}F$ also belongs to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. let (X_α) be a μ -stratification adapted to F. According to Corollary 2.9, it is enough to show that $i_\alpha^! \mathbf{D}F$ has locally constant cohomology for each α . One can use [5, Prop. 3.1.13] in our setting and get

$$i_{\alpha}^{!}\mathbf{D}F = R\mathscr{H}om_{n_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}F,\omega_{X_{\alpha},S}).$$

Locally on X_{α} , $i_{\alpha}^{-1}F = p_{\alpha}^{-1}G$ for some G in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbb{C}_S)$ or $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then, locally on X_{α} ,

$$i_{\alpha}^{!} \mathbf{D} F \simeq R \mathscr{H}om_{p_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(p_{\alpha}^{-1}G, p_{\alpha}^{!}\mathscr{O}_{S}) = p_{\alpha}^{!} R \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_{S}}(G, \mathscr{O}_{S})$$
$$= p_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}G)[2 \dim X_{\alpha}].$$

The proof for F in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{w-C-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ is similar. Moreover, by using Corollary 2.18 instead of Corollary 2.9 one shows that \mathbf{D} sends $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ to itself and, according to Properties 2.20(4), $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ to itself.

Let us prove the involution property. We have a natural morphism of functors id $\to DD$. It is enough to prove the isomorphism property after applying $Li_{s_o}^*$ for each $s_o \in S$, according to Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, Proposition 2.1 implies that $Li_{s_o}^*$ commutes with D, so we are reduced to applying the involution property on $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, according to the $\mathbb{C}\text{-c}$ -analogue of Proposition 2.15, which is known to be true (see e.g. [5]).

Remark 2.24. By using the biduality isomorphism and the isomorphism $i_x^! \mathbf{D} F \simeq \mathbf{D} i_x^{-1} F$ for F in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ or $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, where $i_x: \{x\} \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$ denotes the inclusion, we find a functorial isomorphism $i_x^{-1} \mathbf{D} F \simeq \mathbf{D} i_x^! F$.

2.7. **Perversity.** We will now restrict to the case of S- \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes, which is the only case which will be of interest for us, although one could consider the case of S- \mathbb{R} -constructible complexes as in [5, §10.2].

We define the category ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}^{\leqslant 0}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$ as the full subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$ whose objects are the S- \mathbb{C} -constructible bounded complexes F such that, for some adapted μ -stratification (X_{α}) $(i_{x}$ is as above),

(Supp)
$$\forall \alpha, \forall x \in X_{\alpha}, \forall j > -\dim X_{\alpha}, \quad \mathscr{H}^{j} i_{x}^{-1} F = 0.$$

Similarly, ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}^{\geqslant 0}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ consists of objects F such that

(Cosupp)
$$\forall \alpha, \ \forall x \in X_{\alpha}, \ \forall j < \dim X_{\alpha}, \quad \mathscr{H}^{j} i_{x}^{!} F = 0.$$

In the preceding situation in view of Corollary 2.18 we have, similarly to [5, Prop.10.2.4]:

Lemma 2.25.

(1) $F \in {}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathsf{D}^{\leq 0}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathrm{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if for any α and $j > -\dim(X_\alpha)$,

$$\mathscr{H}^j(i_\alpha^{-1}F) = 0.$$

(2) $F \in {}^{p}\mathsf{D}^{\geqslant 0}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ if and only if for any α and $j < -\dim(X_\alpha)$,

$$\mathscr{H}^j(i_{\alpha}^!F)=0.$$

Namely, if $F \in {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leqslant 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and Z is a closed analytic subset of X such that $\dim Z = k$, then $i_{Z\times S}^{-1}F$ is concentrated in degrees $\leqslant -k$, and if $F' \in {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geqslant 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, then $i_{Z\times S}^{!}F'$ is concentrated in degrees $\geqslant -k$. We have the following variant of [5, Prop.10.2.7]:

Proposition 2.26. Let F be an object of ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}^{\leqslant 0}_{\mathbf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathbf{c}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$ and F' an object of ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}^{\geqslant 0}_{\mathbf{w}-\mathbb{R}-\mathbf{c}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})$. Then

$$\mathscr{H}^{j}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{Y}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')=0, \quad for \ j<0.$$

Proof. Let (X_{α}) be a μ -stratification of X adapted to F and F'. By assumption, for each α , $i_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathscr{H}^{j} F = \mathscr{H}^{j} i_{\alpha}^{-1} F = 0$ for $j > -\dim X_{\alpha}$. Similarly, $\mathscr{H}^{j} i_{\alpha}^{!} F' = 0$ for $j < -\dim X_{\alpha}$.

Let X_{α} be a stratum of maximal dimension such that

$$i_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{j} R \mathcal{H} om_{p_{Y}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{S}}(F, F') \neq 0$$
 for some $j < 0$.

Let V be an open neighbourhood of X_{α} in X such that $V \setminus X_{\alpha}$ intersects only strata of dimension $> \dim X_{\alpha}$, and let $j_{\alpha}: (V \setminus X_{\alpha}) \times S \hookrightarrow V \times S$ be the inclusion. Then the complex $i_{\alpha}^{-1}Rj_{\alpha,*}j_{\alpha}^{-1}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$ has nonzero cohomology in nonnegative degrees only: indeed, by the definition of X_{α} , this property holds for $j_{\alpha}^{-1}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$, hence it holds for $Rj_{\alpha,*}j_{\alpha}^{-1}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$, and then clearly for the complex $i_{\alpha}^{-1}Rj_{\alpha,*}j_{\alpha}^{-1}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{\mathscr{L}}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$. From the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{split} i^!_{\alpha} R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,F') &\to i_{\alpha}^{-1} R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,F') \\ &\to i_{\alpha}^{-1} Rj_{\alpha,*}j_{\alpha}^{-1} R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,F') \xrightarrow{+1} \end{split}$$

we conclude that $\mathscr{H}^j i^!_{\alpha} R \mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,F') \to \mathscr{H}^j i^{-1}_{\alpha} R \mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(F,F') =$ $i_{\alpha}^{-1}\mathcal{H}^{j}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(F,F')$ is an isomorphism for all j<0. Therefore, we obtain, for this stratum X_{α} and for any j < 0,

$$\begin{split} i_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathscr{H}^{j} R \mathscr{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1} \mathscr{O}_{S}}(F, F') &\simeq \mathscr{H}^{j} i_{\alpha}^{!} R \mathscr{H}om_{p_{X}^{-1} \mathscr{O}_{S}}(F, F') \\ &\simeq \mathscr{H}^{j} R \mathscr{H}om_{p_{Y}^{-1} \mathscr{O}_{S}}(i_{\alpha}^{-1} F, i_{\alpha}^{!} F'). \end{split}$$

Since $i_{\alpha}^{-1}F$ has nonzero cohomology in degrees $\leq -\dim X_{\alpha}$ at most and $i_{\alpha}^{!}F'$ in degrees $\geqslant -\dim X_{\alpha}$ at most, $\mathscr{H}^{j}R\mathscr{H}om_{p_{\mathscr{H}}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}F,i_{\alpha}^{!}F')=0$ for j<0, a contradiction with the definition of X_{α} .

Theorem 2.27. ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leqslant 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geqslant 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ form a t-structure of $\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, whose heart is denoted by $\mathrm{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Sketch of proof. We have to prove:

- (1) ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leqslant 0} \subset {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leqslant 1} \text{ and } {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geqslant 0} \supset {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geqslant 1}.$ (2) For $F \in {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leqslant 0}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}) \text{ and } F' \in {}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geqslant 1}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S}),$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(p_{X}^{-1}\mathscr{O}_{S})}(F, F') = 0.$$

(3) For any $F \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ there exist $F' \in {}^{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{D}^{\leqslant 0}_{\mathbb{C}-\mathsf{c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and $F'' \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{c}}_{\mathbb{C}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ $\operatorname{PD}^{\geqslant 1}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$, giving rise to a distinguished triangle $F' \to F$

Then, following the line of the proof of [5, Theorem 10.2.8], we observe that (1) is obvious and (2) follows from Proposition 2.26. Now, (3) is deduced by mimicking stepwise the proof of (c) in [5, Theorem 10.2.8].

According to the preliminary remarks before Lemma 2.21, one cannot expect that the previous t-structure is interchanged by duality when dim $S \ge 1$. However we have:

Proposition 2.28. Let F be an object of ${}^{p}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ such that $\mathbf{D}F$ also belongs to ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathsf{D}_{\mathbb{C}-\mathrm{c}}^{\leqslant 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. Then F and $\mathbf{D}F$ are objects of $\mathrm{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. Let us fix $x \in X_{\alpha}$. We have $i_x^! F \simeq \mathbf{D}(i_x^{-1}\mathbf{D}F)$, as already observed in Remark 2.24. By assumption $G := i_x^{-1}\mathbf{D}F$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^{\mathrm{b},\leqslant -\dim X_{\alpha}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$, and Lemma 2.21 suitably shifted and applied to $\mathbf{D}G$ implies that $\mathbf{D}G$ belongs to $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^{\mathrm{b},\geqslant \dim X_{\alpha}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$, which is the cosupport condition (Cosupp) for F. q.e.d.

Assume $F \in \operatorname{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. The description of the dual standard t-structure on $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{O}_S)$ given in [4, §4] supplies the following refinement to (Supp) and (Cosupp) when $\mathbf{D}F$ is also perverse.

Corollary 2.29. Let $F \in \operatorname{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$ and assume that $\mathbf{D}F \in \operatorname{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. Let (X_{α}) be a stratification adapted to F. Then for each α , each $x \in X_{\alpha}$ and each closed analytic subset $Z \subset S$, we have

(Cosupp+)
$$\mathcal{H}^k(i^!_{Z\times\{x\}}F) = 0, \quad \forall k < \operatorname{codim}_S Z + \dim X_\alpha.$$

(The perversity of F only gives the previous property when Z = S.)

3. The de Rham complex of a holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module

In what follows X and S denote complex manifolds and we set $n = \dim X$, $\ell = \dim S$. We shall keep the notation of the preceding section. Let $\pi : T^*(X \times S) \to T^*X \times S$ denote the projection and let $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ denote the subsheaf of $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ of relative differential operators with respect to p_X (see [18, §2.1 & 2.2]).

Recall that $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}$. With the same proof as for Proposition 2.1 we obtain:

Proposition 3.1. Let $s_o \in S$ be given. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be objects of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$. Then, there is a well-defined natural morphism

$$Li_{s_o}^*(R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathscr{M},\mathscr{N}))\to R\mathscr{H}om_{i_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})}(Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M}),Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{N}))$$

which is an isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

3.1. **Duality for coherent** $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -modules. We refer for instance to [3, Appendix] for the coherence properties of the ring $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}$. The classical methods used in the absolute case, i.e, for coherent \mathcal{D}_X -objects (see for instance [8, Prop. 2.1.16], [9, Prop. 2.7-3]) apply here:

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathscr{M} be a coherent $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module. Then \mathscr{M} locally admits a resolution of length at most $2n+\ell$ by free $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -modules of finite rank.

Proposition 3.2 and [6, Prop. 13.2.2(ii)] (for the opposite category) imply:

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Let us assume that \mathcal{M} is concentrated in degrees [a,b]. Then, in a neighborhhod of each $(x,z) \in X \times S$, there exist a complex \mathscr{L}^{\bullet} of free $\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -modules of finite rank concentrated in degrees $[a-2n-\ell,b]$ and a quasi-isomorphism $\mathscr{L}^{\bullet} \to \mathscr{M}$.

We set $\Omega_{X\times S/S} = \Omega_{X\times S/S}^n$, where $\Omega_{X\times S/S}^n$ denotes the sheaf of relative differential forms of degree $n = \dim X$.

Definition 3.4. The duality functor $D(\cdot): D^{b}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}) \to D^{b}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M} = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X\times S}}\Omega_{X\times S/S}^{\otimes^{-1}})[n].$$

We also set
$$\mathbf{D}'\mathcal{M} := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}) \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{D}^{\mathsf{opp}}_{X\times S/S}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ has finite cohomological dimension, so [3, (A.11)] gives a natural morphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$:

$$\mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{D}'\mathbf{D}'\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}.$$

Moreover, in view of Corollary 3.3, if $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$, then $\mathbf{D}' \mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}^{\mathsf{opp}}_{X \times S/S})$. Indeed, we may choose a local free finite resolution \mathscr{L}^{\bullet} of \mathscr{M} , so that $\mathbf{D}' \mathscr{M}$ is quasi isomorphic to the transposed complex $(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet})^t$ whose entries are free.

By the same argument we deduce that (1) is an isomorphism whenever $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$.

Again by Proposition 3.2, $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ has finite flat dimension so we are in conditions to apply [3, (A.10)]: given $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$ there is a natural morphism:

(2)
$$D'\mathcal{M} \overset{L}{\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}} \mathcal{N} \to R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$$

which an isomorphism provided that \mathscr{M} or \mathscr{N} belong to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$. When $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$, composing (2) with the biduality isomorphism (1) gives a natural isomorphism

$$(3) R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathbf{D}\mathcal{N},\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}).$$

3.2. Characteristic variety. Recall (see [17, §III.1.3]) that the characteristic variety Char \mathcal{M} of a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module \mathcal{M} is the support in $T^*X\times S$ of its graded module with respect to any (local) good filtration. One has (see [17, Prop. III.1.3.2])

(4)
$$\operatorname{Char}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}\mathscr{M}) = \pi^{-1}\operatorname{Char}\mathscr{M},$$
$$\operatorname{Char}\mathscr{M} = \pi\big(\operatorname{Char}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}\mathscr{M})\big).$$

One may as well define the characteristic variety of an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$ as the union of the characteristic varieties of its cohomology modules. By the flatness of $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}$ over $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$, (4) holds for any object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$.

Proposition 3.5 ([18, Prop. 2.5]). For
$$\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$$
 we have $\mathsf{Char}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathsf{Char}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}).$

3.3. The de Rham and solution complexes. For an object \mathcal{M} of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$ we define the functors

$$DR \mathcal{M} := R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathscr{O}_{X \times S}, \mathscr{M}),$$

$$Sol \mathcal{M} := R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{O}_{X \times S})$$

which take values in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. If \mathscr{M} is a $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module, that is, a $\mathscr{O}_{X\times S}$ -module equipped with an integrable relative connection $\nabla: \mathscr{M} \to \Omega^1_{X\times S/S} \otimes \mathscr{M}$, the object $\mathsf{DR}\,\mathscr{M}$ is represented by the complex $(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X\times S/S} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X\times S}} \mathscr{M}, \nabla)$.

Noting that $R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathscr{O}_{X\times S},\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})\simeq\Omega_{X\times S/S}[-\dim X]$ we get

$$oldsymbol{D}\mathscr{O}_{X imes S}\simeq\mathscr{O}_{X imes S}.$$

For $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$, (3) implies a natural isomorphism, for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$:

(5)
$$\operatorname{Sol} \mathcal{M} \simeq \operatorname{DR} \mathbf{D} \mathcal{M}.$$

3.4. Holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -modules. Let \mathscr{M} be a coherent $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module. We say that it is *holonomic* if its characteristic variety Char $\mathscr{M} \subset T^*X \times S$ is contained in $\Lambda \times S$ for some closed conic Lagrangian complex analytic subset of T^*X . We will say that a complex μ -stratification (X_{α}) is adapted to \mathscr{M} if $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} T^*_{X_{\alpha}} X$. Similar definitions hold for objects of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$.

An object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$ is said to be holonomic if its cohomology modules are holonomic. We denote the full triangulated category of holonomic complexes by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$.

Corollary 3.6 (of Prop. 3.5). If \mathcal{M} is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$, then so is $D\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 3.7. Let \mathscr{M} be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$. Then $\mathsf{DR}(\mathscr{M})$ and $\mathsf{Sol}\,\mathscr{M}$ belong to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Proof. Firstly, it follows [5, Prop. 11.3.3], that $Sol(\mathcal{M})$ and $DR(\mathcal{M})$ have their micro-support contained in $\Lambda \times T^*S$ (see [18, p. 11 & Th. 2.13]) and, according to Proposition 2.5, these complexes are objects of $D^b_{w-\mathbb{C}-c}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Let $x \in X$. In order to prove that $i_x^{-1} \operatorname{DR} \mathscr{M}$ has \mathscr{O}_S -coherent cohomology, we can assume that x is a stratum of a stratification adapted to $\operatorname{DR} \mathscr{M}$ and we use Lemma 2.11 to get $i_x^{-1} \operatorname{DR} \mathscr{M} \simeq Rp_{\overline{e},*}(\mathbb{C}_{\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \times S} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{DR} \mathscr{M})$ for ε small enough, where $\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$ is a closed ball of radius ε centered at x. One then remarks that $(\mathbb{C}_{\overline{B}_{\varepsilon} \times S}, \mathscr{M})$ forms a relative elliptic pair in the sense of [18], and Proposition 4.1 of loc. cit. gives the desired coherence.

The statement for $Sol \mathcal{M}$ is proved similarly. q.e.d.

Lemma 3.8 (see [14, Prop. 1.2.5]). For \mathscr{M} in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S})$ with adapted stratification (X_{α}) and for any $s_o \in S$, $Li_{s_o}^*\mathscr{M}$ is \mathscr{D}_X -holonomic and (X_{α}) is adapted to it.

Corollary 3.9. For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{D}' \mathsf{Sol} \, \mathscr{M} \simeq \mathsf{DR} \, \mathscr{M}$.

Proof. We consider the canonical pairing

$$\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S} \mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M} \to p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$$

which gives a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M}\to \boldsymbol{D}'\,\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M}$$

in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$. We have for each $s_o \in S$, by Proposition 3.1

$$Li_{s_o}^*(\operatorname{DR} \mathscr{M}) \simeq \operatorname{DR} Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M}),$$

 $Li_{s_o}^*(\operatorname{Sol} \mathscr{M}) \simeq \operatorname{Sol} Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M}).$

Since $Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\operatorname{DR} Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathbf{D}' \operatorname{Sol} Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M}),$$

so by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 2.1

$$\mathbf{D}' \operatorname{Sol} Li_{s_o}^*(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbf{D}' Li_{s_o}^*(\operatorname{Sol} \mathcal{M}) \simeq Li_{s_o}^*(\mathbf{D}' \operatorname{Sol} \mathcal{M}).$$

The assertion then follows by Proposition 2.2.

q.e.d.

In the following proposition, the main argument is that of strictness, which is essential. We will set ${}^{p}DR \mathcal{M} := DR \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$ and ${}^{p}Sol \mathcal{M} = Sol \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$.

Proposition 3.10. Let \mathscr{M} be a holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module which is strict, i.e., which is $p^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -flat. Then ${}^p\mathrm{DR}\mathscr{M}$ satisfies the support condition (Supp) with respect to a μ -stratification adapted to \mathscr{M} .

Proof. We prove the result by induction on dim S. Since it is local on S, we consider a local coordinate s on S and we set $S' = \{s = 0\}$. The strictness property implies that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{M} \to i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M} \to 0,$$

and $i_{S'}^*\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{X\times S'/S'}$ -holonomic and $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ -flat. We deduce an exact sequence of complexes $0\to {}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{M}\xrightarrow{s}{}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{M}\to {}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,i_{S'}^*\mathcal{M}\to 0$.

Let X_{α} be a stratum of a μ -stratification of X adapted to \mathscr{M} (hence to $i_{S'}^*\mathscr{M}$, after Lemma 3.8). For $x \in X_{\alpha}$, let k be the maximum of the indices j such that $\mathscr{H}^j i_x^{-1} {}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{DR} \mathscr{M} \neq 0$. For any S' as above, we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathscr{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{DR} \, \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{s} \mathscr{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{DR} \, \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{DR} \, i_{S'}^* \mathscr{M} \to 0.$$

If $k > -\dim X_{\alpha}$, we have $\mathscr{H}^{k}i_{x}^{-1}{}^{p}\mathrm{DR}\,i_{S'}^{*}\mathscr{M} = 0$, according to the support condition for $i_{S'}^{*}\mathscr{M}$ (inductive assumption), since (X_{α}) is adapted to it. Therefore, $s: \mathscr{H}^{k}i_{x}^{-1}{}^{p}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{H}^{k}i_{x}^{-1}{}^{p}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M}$ is onto. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.7, $\mathscr{H}^{k}i_{x}^{-1}{}^{p}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M}$ is \mathscr{O}_{S} -coherent. Then Nakayama's lemma implies that $\mathscr{H}^{k}i_{x}^{-1}{}^{p}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M} = 0$ in some neighbourhood of S'. Since S' was arbitrary, this holds all over S, hence the assertion.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is a direct consequence of the following.

Theorem 3.11. Let \mathscr{M} be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$ and let $D\mathscr{M}$ be the dual object. Then there is an isomorphism ${}^{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{DR}\,D\mathscr{M} \simeq D^{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{DR}\,\mathscr{M}$.

Indeed, with the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ is holonomic since \mathcal{M} is so (see Corollary 3.6), and both \mathcal{M} and $\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}$ are strict. Then both ${}^{p}\mathrm{D}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}^{p}\mathrm{D}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}$ satisfy the support condition, according to Proposition 3.10. Hence, according to Theorem 3.11 and Proposition 2.28, ${}^{p}\mathrm{D}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the cosupport condition.

Similarly, ${}^{p}Sol \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbf{D}^{p}DR \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{D}({}^{p}Sol \mathcal{M}) \simeq {}^{p}DR \mathcal{M}$ both satisfy the support condition, hence $Sol \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$ is a perverse object. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 3.11. Combining (3) with [5, Ex. II.24 (iv)] (with $f = \mathrm{id}$, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S}$ and $\mathscr{B} = p_X^{-1} \mathscr{O}_S$) entails, for any $\mathscr{N} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$, a natural morphism

$$R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}(\mathscr{N},\mathscr{M})\to R\mathscr{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S}(\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathscr{M},\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathscr{N}).$$

When $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$, we obtain a natural morphism

$$DR \mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{D}' DR \mathbf{D} \mathcal{M}$$
, that is, ${}^{p}DR \mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{D}^{p}DR \mathbf{D} \mathcal{M}$.

Suppose now that $\mathscr{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Recall that $\mathbf{D}\mathscr{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathscr{D}_{X \times S/S})$, so ${}^{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{DR}\,\mathbf{D}\mathscr{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}(p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S)$.

Hence, by biduality, we get a morphism

(6)
$$\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathcal{M}\leftarrow^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}\mathcal{M}.$$

On the other hand, since $Li_{s_o}^*(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\mathsf{hol}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ for each $s_o \in S$, the morphisms above induce isomorphisms

$$Li_{s_o}^*(\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\mathscr{M})\simeq{}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{DR}\,\boldsymbol{D}Li_{s_o}^*(\mathscr{M})$$

according to Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 3.1, where in the right hand side we consider the duality for holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Thus (6) is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.2 and the local duality theorem for holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules (see [13] and the references given there). q.e.d.

Example 3.12. Let X be the open unit disc in $\mathbb C$ with coordinate x and let S be a connected open set of $\mathbb C$ with coordinate s. Let $\varphi:S\to\mathbb C$ be a non constant holomorphic function on S and consider the holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}$ -module $\mathscr{M}=\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}/\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}\cdot P$, with $P=x\partial_x-\varphi(s)$. It is easy to check that \mathscr{M} has no \mathscr{O}_S -torsion and admits the resolution $0\to\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}\xrightarrow{P}\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}\to \mathscr{M}\to 0$, so that the dual module $\mathscr{D}\mathscr{M}$ has a similar presentation and is also \mathscr{O}_S -flat. The complex ${}^p\mathrm{Sol}\mathscr{M}$ is represented by $0\to\mathscr{O}_{X\times S}\xrightarrow{P}\mathscr{O}_{X\times S}\to 0$ (terms in degrees -1 and 0). Consider the stratification $X_1=X\smallsetminus\{0\}$ and $X_0=\{0\}$ of X. Then $\mathscr{H}^{-1}{}^p\mathrm{Sol}\mathscr{M}_{|X_1}$ is a locally constant sheaf of free $p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -modules generated by a local determination of $x^{\varphi(s)}$, and $\mathscr{H}^0{}^p\mathrm{Sol}\mathscr{M}_{|X_1}=0$. On the other hand, $\mathscr{H}^{-1}{}^p\mathrm{Sol}\mathscr{M}_{|X_0}=0$ and $\mathscr{H}^0{}^p\mathrm{Sol}\mathscr{M}_{|X_0}$ is a skyscraper sheaf on $X_0\times S$ supported on $\{s\in S\mid \varphi(s)\in\mathbb{Z}\}$.

For each x_0 we have

$$\begin{split} i^!_{x_0}({}^{\mathrm{P}}\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M}) \\ &\simeq i^{-1}_{\{x_0\}\times S} R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}\mathscr{M}, R\Gamma_{\{x_0\}\times S|X\times S}\mathscr{O}_{X\times S})[\dim X] \\ &\simeq i^{-1}_{\{x_0\}\times S} R\mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}}(\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}\mathscr{M}, B_{\{x_0\}\times S|X\times S}) \end{split}$$

where $B_{\{x_0\}\times S|X\times S}:=\mathcal{H}^1_{[\{x_0\}\times S]}(\mathscr{O}_{X\times S})$ denotes the sheaf of holomorphic hyperfunctions (of finite order) along $x=x_0$ (cf. [16]). The second isomorphism follows from the fact that $\mathscr{D}_{X\times S}\otimes_{\mathscr{D}_{X\times S/S}}\mathscr{M}$ is regular specializable along the submanifold $x=x_0$ (cf. [7]).

Recall that the sheaves $B_{\{x_0\}\times S|X\times S}$ are flat over $p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ because locally they are inductive limits of free $p_X^{-1}\mathscr{O}_S$ -modules of finite rank.

Since $i_{x_0}^!({}^{p}\operatorname{Sol}\mathscr{M})$ is quasi isomorphic to the complex

$$0 \to B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}|_{\{x_0\} \times S} \xrightarrow{P} B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}|_{\{x_0\} \times S} \to 0$$

it follows that the flat dimension over \mathscr{O}_S of $i^!_{x_0}({}^p\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M})$ in the sense of $[4,\,\S4]$ is $\leqslant 0$ for any x_0 . Moreover, $\mathscr{H}^0i^!_{x_0}({}^p\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M})=0$ and, if $x_0\neq 0$, $\mathscr{H}^1i^!_{x_0}({}^p\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M})$ is a locally free \mathscr{O}_S -module of rank 1. Hence the flat dimension of $i^!_{x_0}({}^p\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M})$ is $\leqslant 1$. This shows explicitly that ${}^p\mathrm{Sol}\,\mathscr{M}$ satisfies the condition (Cosupp+) of Corollary 2.29.

4. Application to mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -modules

Let $\mathscr{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}}$ be the sheaf on $X\times\mathbb{C}$ of z-differential operators, locally generated by $\mathscr{O}_{X\times\mathbb{C}}$ and the z-vector fields $z\partial_{x_i}$ in local coordinates (x_1,\ldots,x_n) on X. When restricted to $X\times\mathbb{C}^*$, the sheaf $\mathscr{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{D}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$.

A mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module on X (see [12]) is a triple $\mathscr{T}=(\mathscr{M}',\mathscr{M}'',C)$, where $\mathscr{M}',\mathscr{M}''$ are holonomic $\mathscr{B}_{X\times\mathbb{C}}$ -modules and C is a certain pairing with values in distributions, that we will not need to make precise here. Such a triple is subject to various conditions. We say that a $\mathscr{D}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module \mathscr{M} underlies a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module \mathscr{T} if \mathscr{M} is the restriction to $X\times\mathbb{C}^*$ of \mathscr{M}' or \mathscr{M}'' .

Theorem 1.1 is now a direct consequence of the following properties of mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -modules, since they imply that \mathscr{M} satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. If \mathscr{M} underlies a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module, then

- there exists a locally finite filtration $W_{\bullet}\mathcal{M}$ indexed by \mathbb{Z} by $\mathcal{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}}$ submodules such that each graded module underlies a pure polarizable twistor \mathscr{D} -module; then each $\operatorname{gr}_{\ell}^{W}\mathcal{M}$ is strict and holonomic
 (see [14, Prop. 4.1.3] and [11, §17.1.1]), and thus so is \mathscr{M} ;
- the dual of \mathscr{M} as a $\mathscr{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module also underlies a mixed twistor \mathscr{D} -module, hence is also strict holonomic (see [12, Th. 12.9]); using the isomorphism $\mathscr{R}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*}\simeq \mathscr{D}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$, we see that the dual $\mathscr{D}\mathscr{M}$ as a $\mathscr{D}_{X\times\mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module is strict and holonomic. q.e.d.

References

- M. Goresky and R.D. MacPherson, Stratified Morse theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Folge 3 Band 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988.
- [2] M. Kashiwara, On the maximally overdetermined systems of differential equations, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 10 (1975), 563-579.
- [3] ______, D-modules and microlocal calculus, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 217, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

- [4] ______, t-Structure on the derived categories of D-modules and O-modules, Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 4, 847–868.
- [5] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [6] _______, Categories and sheaves, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 332, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [7] Y. Laurent and T. Monteiro Fernandes, Systèmes différentiels fuchsiens le long d'une sous-variété, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 24 (1988), no. 3, 397–431.
- [8] Z. Mebkhout, Le formalisme des six opérations de Grothendieck pour les D-modules cohérents, Travaux en cours, vol. 35, Hermann, Paris, 1989.
- [9] ______, Le théorème de positivité, le théorème de comparaison et le théorème d'existence de Riemann, Éléments de la théorie des systèmes différentiels géométriques, Séminaires & Congrès, vol. 8, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004, pp. 165–310.
- [10] T. Mochizuki, Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D-modules, vol. 185, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 869-870, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, arXiv: math.DG/0312230 & math.DG/0402122.
- [11] ______, Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor D-modules, Astérisque, vol. 340, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011.
- [12] ______, Mixed twistor D-Module, arXiv: 1104.3366, 2011.
- [13] L. Narváez Macarro, The local duality theorem in D-module theory, Éléments de la théorie des systèmes différentiels géométriques, Séminaires & Congrès, vol. 8, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004, pp. 59–87.
- [14] C. Sabbah, *Polarizable twistor* \mathscr{D} -modules, Astérisque, vol. 300, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005.
- [15] ______, Wild twistor D-modules, Algebraic Analysis and Around: In Honor of Professor M. Kashiwara's 60th Birthday (Kyoto, June 2007), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., vol. 54, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2009, pp. 293–353, arXiv: 0803.0287.
- [16] M. Sato, T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara, Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations (Katata, 1971), Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 287, Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 265–529.
- [17] P. Schapira, *Microdifferential systems in the complex domain*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 269, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [18] P. Schapira and J.-P. Schneiders, Index theorem for elliptic pairs, Astérisque, vol. 224, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1994.
- [19] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **75** (1992), 5–95.
- [20] _____, Mixed twistor structures, Prépublication Université de Toulouse & arXiv: math.AG/9705006, 1997.
- (T. Monteiro Fernandes) Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais da Universidade de Lisboa, Complexo 2, 2 Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto, 1699 Lisboa, Portugal

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: tmf@ptmat.fc.ul.pt}$

(C. Sabbah) Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, UMR CNRS 7640, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau cedex, France

E-mail address: sabbah@math.polytechnique.fr